

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/810,920	BITLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Tim Richardson.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2005

Time: 3:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

Claims 65, 73-75, 77, 78 and 90.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 65, 73-75, 77 and 78 will be canceled without prejudice. The improper Markush language of claim 90 will be corrected.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)